



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/672,187	09/26/2003	Tracy C. Denk	51241/RJP/B600	51241/RJP/B600 9844	
23363	7590 05/20/2004		EXAMINER		
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP			MAI, TAN V		
350 WEST CO	LORADO BOULEVARD				
SUITE 500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PASADENA,	CA 91105		2124		
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004	DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/672,187	DENK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tan V Mai	2124				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 De 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/22/2003.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/672,187

Art Unit: 2124

1. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per claim 1, the terms "substantially" are indefinite and/or misdescriptive. The equations " $\widehat{X} = n + s_{i}$ " and " $\widehat{X} = n + y$ " are incorrect because; (1) "n" is number of bit and (2) " s_{i} " & "y" should be "fractional parts". The Examiner suggests the preamble:

- (1) "X = $X_{\dot{c}}$ + 0. X_{fa} "; i is integer part & fa is fractional part of X;
- (2) " $\hat{X} = X_{i} + 0.X_{i}$ "; fb is fractional part of X.

Therefore, the equation " $\hat{X} = n + s_i$ " should be $-\hat{X} = X_i + 0.X_{ib}$ —; where $X_{ib} = X_b + s_i$ (X_b is original part of "b" fractional part. The equation " $\hat{X} = n + y$ " should be $-\hat{X} = X_i + 0.X_{ib}$ —; where $X_{ib} = X_b + y$. The period "." at the end is missing. Similarly noted claim 3.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Juri et al ,EP 0 469 841 A, (Applicants admission Prior Art) or Juri et al '563 (Applicants admission Prior Art).

As per independent 1, Juri et al disclose, e.g., see Figs. 7, 8a & 8b, the invention substantially as claimed, including: input (21), OR gate (30), AND gate (23) and adder (24). The examiner analyzes the reference as follow: (1) "fourth least significant bit",

Art Unit: 2124

see Figs. 7, 8a & 8b, is considered the claimed "y as the most significant bit of the a bits "; and (2) the MSB, see Figs. 7, 8a & 8b, is considered the claimed sign bit "s $_{\iota}$ ". It is noted that Juri et al do not specifically detail the rational (integer and fractional) number, X; however, the claimed rounding method is similar to the rounding integer number. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the claimed invention according to Juri et al's teachings because the device is a data round-off device as claimed.

As per claims 2, the feature is obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art.

Due to the similarity of claim 3-4 to claims 1-2, they are rejected under a similar rationale.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan V. Mai whose telephone number is (703) 305-9761. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri from 6:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki, can be reached on (703) 305-9662. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are:

After-final

(703) 746-7238

Official

(703) 746-7239

Non-Official/Draft (703) 746-7240.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

PRIMARY EXAMINER